

Figure 1: Regressive (red) and Progressive (blue) Truth Commission Events in Nigeria

### Truth Commission Events in Nigeria




Figure 2: Urgency Measure




Figure 3: Urgency Measure for TJ Vetting




Figure 4: Severity Measure for Purges




Figure 5: Volatility Measure




Figure 6: Volatility Measure for Truth Commissions




Figure 7: Disaggregating Transitional Justice Data






Table 1: Comparison Table

Countries	TJDB (Lustration)	TJRC (Vetting)	Severity (TJ Vetting)
Albania	2	2	0.545
Argentina	2	3	0.8
Bangladesh	0	0	0.5
Benin	0	0	0
Bolivia	0	0	0
Bosnia	3	5	0.667
Brazil	0	0	0.8
Bulgaria	2	4	0.588
Burundi	0	0	0
Cape Verde	.	0	0
Chile	0	0	0.667
Colombia	0	0	0.5
Comoros	.	0	0.5
Croatia	0	0	0
Cyprus	.	0	0
Czech Republic	2	2	0.81
Dominican Republic	1	1	0.5
East Timor	0	0	0
Ecuador	0	0	0
Egypt	0	0	0.5
El Salvador	0	0	0
Estonia	0	1	0.762
Georgia	0	1	0.556
Germany	1	2	0.8
Ghana	0	0	0
Greece	2	5	0.842
Grenada	.	0	0
Guatemala	0	0	0.75
Guinea Bissau	1	0	0.6
Guyana	.	0	0
Haiti	0	0	0
Honduras	0	0	0
Hungary	1	2	0.684
India	0	0	0.75
Indonesia	0	0	0
Kenya	0	1	0.667
Kosovo	.	0	0



Latvia	.	1	0.778
Lebanon	0	0	0
Lesotho	0	0	0
Liberia	0	2	0
Lithuania	1	4	0.75
Macedonia	0	1	0.636
Madagascar	0	0	0
Malawi	0	0	0
Mali	0	0	0.833
Mexico	0	0	0.667
Moldova	0	0	0.5
Mongolia	.	0	0
Montenegro	.	0	0
Nepal	0	2	0.5
Nicaragua	0	0	0.5
Niger	0	0	0
Nigeria	1	1	0.5
Northern Ireland	.	0	0.5
Pakistan	0	0	0.5
Palestine	.	0	0
Panama	0	0	0.667
Paraguay	1	1	0.833
Peru	0	0	0.5
Philippines	0	1	0
Poland	1	2	0.625
Portugal	1	2	0.706
Romania	0	2	0.692
Russia	0	0	0.3
Sao Tome and Principe	0	0	0
Senegal	0	0	0
Serbia	0	1	0.833
Sierra Leone	0	0	0
Slovakia	.	1	0.714
Slovenia	.	1	0.429
South Africa	0	0	0
South Korea	0	0	0.5
Spain	1	0	0.5
Sri Lanka	0	0	0
Sudan	1	0	0
Taiwan	.	0	0

Thailand	0	0	0
Tunisia	0	0	0.667
Turkey	1	1	0
Ukraine	.	0	0.692
Uruguay	0	0	0.5
Venezuela	0	0	0
Zambia	0	0	0.5

Table 2: Regression Results  
 (Dependent Variable: Power Distributed by Socioeconomic Status)

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Years after		0.000 (0.001)	-0.009* (0.005)	-0.010* (0.005)	-0.010** (0.005)	-0.009* (0.005)
TJ Vetting Sev.				0.665** (0.259)		
Purges Sev.					0.541 (0.336)	
Truth Com Sev.						0.117 (0.248)
Constant	0.605*** (0.083)	0.601*** (0.084)	0.672*** (0.102)	0.453*** (0.131)	0.590*** (0.113)	0.622*** (0.148)
Random Effects:						
Intercept (Var.)	0.561	0.560	0.837	0.791	0.805	0.856
Years after (Var.)			0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Residual (Var.)	0.135	0.135	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070
Observations	1875	1875	1875	1875	1875	1875

Note: \* $p < 0.1$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.01$

Table 3: Regression Results using Disaggregated Data  
 (Dependent Variable: Power Distributed by Socioeconomic Status)

	Model 7	Model 8	Model 9
Years after	-0.010* (0.005)	-0.010** (0.005)	-0.009* (0.005)
TJ Vetting Sev. (Pooled)	0.665** (0.259)		
TJ Vetting Sev. (Unknown)		0.605** (0.239)	
TJ Vetting Sev. (Known)			0.179 (0.241)
Constant	0.453*** (0.131)	0.520*** (0.115)	0.643*** (0.109)
Random Effects:			
Intercept (Var.)	0.791	0.760	0.842
Years after (Var.)	0.002	0.002	0.002
Residual (Var.)	0.070	0.070	0.070
Observations	1875	1875	1875

Note: \* $p < 0.1$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.01$

Table 4: Correlation Matrix of Severity Measures

	TJ vetting (unknown)	TJ vetting (known)	Purges	Truth Commissions
TJ vetting (unknown)	1.00	0.16	0.22	0.14
TJ vetting (known)	0.16	1.00	0.48	-0.13
Purges	0.22	0.48	1.00	-0.03
Truth Commissions	0.14	-0.13	-0.03	1.00

Table 5: Anova Tests

Object	Df	AIC	LogLik	Deviance	Chisq	<i>Pr(&gt; Chisq)</i>
Baseline Model 3 (years after)	6	1021.6	-504.81	1009.6		
Model 8 (TJ Vetting of unknown collaborators)	7	1018.1	-502.05	1004.1	5.5113	0.01889**
Model 9 (TJ Vetting of known collaborators)	7	1023.5	-504.75	1009.5	0.1097	0.7405
Model 5 (Purges)	7	1021.2	-503.60	1007.2	2.4097	0.1206
Model 6 (Truth Commissions)	7	1023.4	504.75	1009.4	0.2271	0.6337